

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,126	06/27/2003	Uwe Krueger	34874-162 UTIL	6692
64280	64280 7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER	
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY & POPEO, P.C. 9255 TOWNE CENTER DRIVE SUITE 600			KANG, INSUN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO	, CA 92121 .		2193	· <u></u>

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/609,126	KRUEGER, UWE			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Insun Kang	2193			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	•	1			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u></u> □	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/27/2003, 9/22/2003, and 10/20/2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) □ 6) ፟⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	awn from consideration. For election requirement. Her. Herector cepted or b) □ objected to by the English and the second of the second or b objected. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6/27/2003, 9/22/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite			

Art Unit: 2193

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This action is responding to application papers dated 6/27/2003, 9/22/2003, and 10/20/2003.

2. Claims 1-12 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claims 1- 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 1-8 are non-statutory because they are directed to a "framework" without recitation of a computer or a computer-readable medium embodying the framework. The claims merely recite a "framework" that is disembodied arrangement so as to be called a "computer program" or compilation of facts, information, or data *per se*, without creating any functional interrelationship, either as part of the stored data or as part of the computing processes performed by the computer ("acts") or computer readable medium so as to enable the computer to perform the claimed functionalities.

Thus the claims represent non-functional descriptive material that is not capable of producing a useful result, and hence represent only abstract ideas. Therefore, the claims are non-statutory.

Art Unit: 2193

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)

Page 3

of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Aditham

et al. (US Patent. 6,378,001) hereafter "Aditham."

Per claim 1:

Aditham discloses:

-a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object having a

set of functionality and being identifiable by a unique identifier provided by the virtual

object space (i.e. col. 4 lines 10-20; col. 6 lines 47-65); and a visualization framework

for visualizing objects independently of an application implementing the object, each

object being visualized using the object's unique identifier and according to the object's

corresponding set of functionality (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

wherein the virtual object space further provides generic object functionality for the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

wherein the generic object functionality includes at least one or more of the following: associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects; distribution functionality for distributing the virtual object space; and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

-framework services providing common event handling of the plurality of objects(i.e. Fig. 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 5:

Aditham discloses:

a virtual object space providing access to a plurality Of objects, each object being identifiable by a unique identifier; a user interface for receiving input from a user relating to a desired arrangement of one or more software objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects according to the desired arrangement, each object being visualized using the object's unique

identifier independently of an application implementing the object (i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches: wherein the user interface further includes allowing a user to browse the ;plurality of objects independent of one or more applications implementing the objects(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches: the desired arrangement is hierarchical(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Aditham teaches:

the visualization framework includes an object viewer(i.e. Fig 3, col. 5 lines 15-35; col. 6 lines 47-65).

Per claims 9-12, they are the method versions of claims 1-4, respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1-4 above.

7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koppolu et al. (US Pg. Pub. 2002/0103824) hereafter "Koppolu."

Per claim 1:

Koppolu discloses:

-a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object having a set of functionality and being identifiable by a unique identifier provided by the virtual object space (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects independently of an application implementing the object, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier and according to the object's corresponding set of functionality (i.e. fig 5-7; 0191; 0192).

Per claim 2:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: wherein the virtual object space further provides generic object functionality for the plurality of objects (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claim 3:

The rejection of claim 2 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: wherein the generic object functionality includes at least one or more of the following: associations and transactions functionality for relating objects and interaction between objects; distribution functionality for distributing the virtual object space; and persistency functionality for maintaining persistency of the objects (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Art Unit: 2193

Per claim 4:

The rejection of claim 1 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches:

-framework services providing common event handling of the plurality of objects(i.e.

Page 7

0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claim 5:

Koppolu discloses:

a virtual object space providing access to a plurality of objects, each object being identifiable by a unique identifier; a user interface for receiving input from a user relating to a desired arrangement of one or more software objects (i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539); and a visualization framework for visualizing objects according to the desired arrangement, each object being visualized using the object's unique identifier independently of an application implementing the object(i.e. fig 5-7; 0191; 0192).

Per claim 6:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches:

wherein the user interface further includes allowing a user to browse the ;plurality of objects independent of one or more applications implementing the objects (i.e. 0012; fig.

5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claim 7:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches:

the desired arrangement is hierarchical(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claim 8:

The rejection of claim 5 is incorporated, and further, Koppolu teaches: the visualization framework includes an object viewer(i.e. 0012; fig 5-7; 0191;0539).

Per claims 9-12, they are the method versions of claims 1-4, respectively, and are rejected for the same reasons set forth in connection with the rejection of claims 1-4 above.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Insun Kang whose telephone number is 571-272-3724. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 6:30-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on 571-272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Art Unit: 2193

Page 9

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

IK AU 2193

24L

Ma- Ch

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATERT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100